

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SARAH ISBELL,

Plaintiff,

No. C 18-00269 WHA

v.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, OKLAHOMA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,
OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT
SERVICES, ED LAKE, officially and individually,
DAVID HAMMONS, officially and individually, JIM
HUTCHINSON, officially and individually, STATE OF
CALIFORNIA, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES, ALISHA GRIFFIN,
officially and individually, MICHAEL WILKENING,
officially and individually, and LISA DUGAN, officially
and individually,

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING
MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION
AND MOTION TO
ALTER OR AMEND
JUDGMENT**

On July 6, this Court dismissed *pro se* plaintiff Sarah Isbell's amended complaint in its entirety (Dkt. Nos. 45, 46). Plaintiff then moved for reconsideration pursuant to Rule 59(e) (Dkt. No. 73). Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration was denied and judgment was entered on August 2 (Dkt. No. 75). Now plaintiff has filed a second motion to reconsider the August 2 judgment (Dkt. No. 77). Once again, plaintiff fails to identify any new basis or facts that would warrant reconsideration. The request is accordingly **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 11, 2018.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE